Mr. SPEAKER.—I have been making matters very clear. All these days we have worked smoothly, except on the 26th instant evening and I believe on the 28th too. When there was a little rough weather, but not much.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I have brought to your notice that 'Kantapura' affair.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have mentioned it to the Hon'ble Minister for Education. Let us see what he has to say.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮಾ ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಈ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನನಗೂ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನಾನೂ ನೋಡಿದುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಷನಬಲ್ ವಿಷಯ ಗಳಿವೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದೂ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ. ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಡಿಗ್ರಿ ಕೋರ್ಸಿಗೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಪುಸ್ತಕ ವಾಗಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಚುನಾಯಿಸಲು ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸರಕಾರದ ವತಿಯಿಂದ ಇಂತಹ ವಿಚಾರಗಳು ಈ ವಿಚಾರವಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎನ್ನು ವುದನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

I assure the Hon'ble House that I will take up this question with the University.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ (ಹೆಬ್ಬೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮೀ, ಇದು ಹೊಸ ಸೂಚನೆ. ಹಣವೇ ಇಲ್ಲದಂತಹ ಸಂದರ್ಭವಿದು. ಹಣದ ಕಷ್ಟವೇ ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವಂತಹುದನ್ನು ಹುಡುಗರು ಸರಕಾರದಿಂದ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು. ಅಲ್ಲದೇ ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಈಗ ಇರುವ ಗವರ್ನರವರು ಸಂಬಳವನ್ನೇ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳದೇ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವಂತಹವರನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲೇ ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೇ ಇವರನ್ನೇಕೆ ಈಗ ಮದರಾಸಿಗೆ ಗವರ್ನರಾಗಿ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ? ಇವರನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕಿರುವ ಕಷ್ಟವೇನು? ನಾನಾಗಲೇ ಇದನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದರ ಬಗೆಗೆ ಏನನ್ನೂ ತಿಳಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let members not bring either the Governor or his status into discussion here. It does not come in. These things must come to an end.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, I did not get any statement from the Government. They have not replied to our points. They are keeping silent as though they are deaf. We have spent half-an-hour on this. ನಾನು ನುಮಾರು ಅರ್ಧ ಗಂಟೆಯಿಂದ ಅತಿ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ ದ್ದಾಗ್ಗೂ ಕೂಡ ಸರಕಾರದ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಏನೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಇಂತಹ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಕಾರದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನೂ ಉತ್ತರ ವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡದೇ ಇರುವಂತಹ ಸಂಕಟ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಏನು ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ? ತಾವಿಷ್ಟು ಸಹನೆಯಿಂದ ಕೇಳು ತ್ತಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಸರಕಾರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಇದೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ಅನುಮಾನ ನಮಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಉಂಟು ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಏನನ್ನೂ ಹೇಳದೇ ಬರೀ ಮೂಕ ಗೊಂಬೆಗಳಾಗಿ ಇರ್ಲೇಕೆ ಕುಳಿತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ? (ನಗು).

Mr. SPEAKER.—I said that this must come to an end.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The end comes only when there is a reply from the Government. I want this democracy to be a living democracy.

CHAIRS RULING.—*re*: moving of amendment by Minister concerned to a Bill as reported by Joint Select Committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I was mentioning to the House that I had promised to give a ruling on the point raised by the Hon'ble member Sri C. J. Muckannappa, when the Hon'ble Minister for Municipal Adiministration moved an amendment to the consideration of the Joint Select Committee Report, clause by clause.

10.00 A.M.

When I was about to deliver the Ruling, Sri. G. V. Gowda put forward a new argument, *viz* the Hon'ble Member being a party to the Select Committee Report, having had the matter discussed and having come to a conclusion at the Report stage of the Committee, whether it was open to the Minister in charge of the Bill to move an amendment to the Bill. Evidently he had in his mind something which I did not understand. I wanted him to look into the matter more deeply and give me any authority or cite any book or convention which he had in his mind. I offered also to give him the various books which I have got for delivering the ruling. The books are here now. Evidently, Sri G.V. Gowda is busy elsewhere on more important work. I was observing it day before yesterday also. He should have, having raised it, been present here. Of course, it may be due to reasons beyond his control that he is absent. Therefore, I draw no inference, but one thing I want to mention. Whenever a member criticises any proposition before the House, either Government or non-official, if he criticises any directs the criticism particularly against anybody, he should be here to receive the answer. That is the parliamentary practice, particularly in matters relating to the question on the Budget or even Bills, if he attacks the principles and says that it should not be done at all. Hon'ble Members must not feel that the moment they finish their speech they are at liberty to go away. He who raises a point of that type is expected by convention to be present to receive a reply to that point. Similarly when a ruling is asked, the Hon'ble Member must be present and Sri Muckannappa, a good member that he is, is ready to receive the ruling on the point which he has raised. That is perfectly right. That is why I called him a good boy little while ago.

Now I shall put that ruling very briefly because it has become very stale. I do not want to read the various books. The position is that so far as the Report of the Select Committee is concerned, it does not stand on any higher footing in all respects than any other Debate. A Joint Select Committee Report is to facilitate the work of the House. The powers of the House are in no way abridged, when a matter is referred to a Select Committee; nor are they abridged when a Report of the Select Committee comes. All that is mentioned there is wide open, either for acceptance, modification, rejection or for action in any manner the House likes. Therefore, question arises as to how that is to be done. It is done by way of amendment. Amendment may be to omit a particular clause in a Bill, or a portion, but not the entire clause, or amendment may be on any other matter. So the amendment is practically to re-shape the legislation that is coming about. That is why several of the text books have held that amendments are really miniature legislations. The person who moves the amendment initiates legislation, limited to the scope of the amendment. That right is the inherent right of every member; that right cannot be taken away by a Report of the Select Committee. So if that is the right which every member of the House possesses, then the question arises whether a Minister is deprived of that

(MR. SPEAKER)

right. I am not unaware of the convention or the rule that when the report comes before the House, the members who are parties to it have got certain limitations that they have agreed to the matter is embodied in the Report; therefore they will not be permitted to have their say;. That is not the proper position. Now does that apply so far as the Minister is concerned? I have tried my best to find out.

In fact, the House is aware that the Government moves amendments at all stages and amendments can be moved at any itme by the member or the Minister or the Member in charge. But a time limit is placed for the purpose of enabling other members to know what the amendments are. Otherwise if at the last moment amendments are moved, the work will not be smooth. That is why in the rules of Procedure it is said that it must be given in writing and it must also be given sufficiently early so that it may be circulated to all the members. Circulation is done if there is time; but circulation is not a necessary condition to enable an amendment to be considered by the House. So far as the Government is concerned, it is the Government that has to accept or oppose the amendment. Therefore, Government is the body concerned with the responsibility of seeing the Bill through, brought as a Government measure, and administering it. There are a multitude of instances in this House as well as in the other House where a Minister in charge has been permitted to move an amendment and it has been dealt with by the House, accepted or rejected as the case may be. I am not concerned with the result of voting on an amendment. If members give notice at a late stage the rule or convention is that the Minister in charge should be asked. If he agrees, the Speaker does not generally stand in the way. Therefore, the position of the Government or the Minister in charge is peculiar. And it has always been held that the amendments that are moved by the Government and the Minister in charge should be permitted and amendment is an amendment, whether it is a major or minor amendment; right to move an amendment is a matter by itself. Therefore, regarding the point raised by Sri Muckannappa I hold that the Minister in charge of the Bill was perfectly entitled and that is why it was permitted.

BUSINESS TRANSACTED DURING THE SESSION.

MR. SPEAKER.—Now there are one or two other matters which I would like to mention. The House would very naturally like to know what exactly we have been able to do in these sessions. The work that has been done is as follows.